## Methodology

## Marquette Law School Poll, April 2012

The Marquette Law School Poll was conducted April 26-29, 2012. A total of 705 Wisconsin registered voters and eligible voters who said they would register by Election Day were interviewed by a combination of landline and cell phone using random digit dialing (RDD). Interviews were completed with 465 (66\%) landline respondents and 240 ( $34 \%$ ) cell phone respondents. The data collection was managed by LHK Partners Inc, Newtown Square, PA. The margin of error for a single percentage in a sample of 705 respondents is $+/-3.8$ percentage points. For subgroups with smaller sample sizes the margin of error is larger. For the 561 respondents who are likely to vote in the gubernatorial recall election in June, the margin of error is $+/-4.2$ percentage points. For the 451 registered voters who said they would vote in the Democratic primary in the gubernatorial recall election, the margin of error is $+/-4.7$ percentage points. For the 399 respondents who are likely to vote in the Democratic primary in the gubernatorial recall election, the margin of error is $+/-5.0$ percentage points. Likely voters are any voters who indicated they were "absolutely certain to vote" in the relevant election.

## Post-Stratification

Post-stratification, or weighting, compensates for patterns of non-response that shift sample characteristics from known population values. In telephone surveys it is common for potential respondents who are younger and have fewer years of formal education to exhibit higher rates of non-response resulting in these groups being under-represented in the sample. To compensate for these non-response effects the sample is weighted to bring sample characteristics into line with the population values. In this sample, the population values of age groups and education levels were determined by combining the 2008 and 2010 Current Population Surveys conducted by the U.S. Census in Wisconsin to estimate the distribution of age and education for registered voters in the state. Other demographic characteristics including race, Hispanic origin, sex, and region of the state were sufficiently close to the population values that only age and education were used for estimation of the weights. A "raking" procedure was used to simultaneously balance the weights so that the sample distribution closely approximates the known population distributions for age and education. The population, unweighted and weighted percentages, as well as sample sizes are shown in the table below. Population values for sex, age, race, Hispanic origin and education are based on the Current Population Survey for 2010 and 2008. The distribution of population by media market (DMA) was provided by Claritas, a media research firm. The Centers for Disease Control and the National Center for Health Statistics provide estimates of the percentage of households that have only cellular telephone service.

Other sample demographics include partisanship, religion and marital status. The Gallup organization provides estimates of party identification and religious affiliation based on their polls in the state over a six to twelve month period. The "leaned" party identification classifies independents who say they are closer to a party as supporters of that party. Gallup's data is based on all adults while the Marquette Law School Poll samples registered voters. Marital status is based on the American Community Study conducted by the U.S. Census and is also for all adults.

## Sample Demographics

|  |  | CPS Value \% | Unweigh <br> N | \% | Weighted N | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QS2 | Male | 47.65 | 357 | 50.64 | 369 | 52.34 |
|  | Female | 52.35 | 348 | 49.36 | 336 | 47.66 |
|  | Don't know/refused |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
|  | Total | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 |
| Age4 | 18-29 | 16.45 | 43 | 6.10 | 114 | 16.17 |
|  | 30-44 | 23.73 | 125 | 17.73 | 164 | 23.26 |
|  | 45-59 | 30.75 | 248 | 35.18 | 214 | 30.35 |
|  | 60+ | 29.07 | 278 | 39.43 | 202 | 28.65 |
|  | Don't know/refused |  | 11 | 1.56 | 11 | 1.56 |
|  | Total | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 |
| Race3 | White | 93.35 | 622 | 88.23 | 603 | 85.53 |
|  | Black | 4.30 | 36 | 5.11 | 49 | 6.95 |
|  | Other | 2.35 | 36 | 5.11 | 40 | 5.67 |
|  | Don't know/refused |  | 11 | 1.56 | 13 | 1.84 |
|  | Total | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 |
| Hispanic | Hispanic | 2.15 | 24 | 3.40 | 38 | 5.38 |
|  | Hispanic No | 97.85 | 675 | 95.74 | 658 | 93.20 |
|  | Don't know/refused |  | 6 | 0.85 | 10 | 1.42 |
|  | Total | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 | 706 | 100.00 |
| Ed5 | Less than high school | 4.76 | 15 | 2.13 | 34 | 4.82 |
|  | High school grad | 30.12 | 154 | 21.84 | 210 | 29.79 |
|  | Some college, no degree | 20.35 | 109 | 15.46 | 141 | 20.00 |
|  | Associate degree | 12.45 | 90 | 12.77 | 86 | 12.20 |
|  | Bachelors or more | 32.32 | 330 | 46.81 | 227 | 32.20 |
|  | Don't know/refused |  | 7 | 0.99 | 7 | 0.99 |
|  | Total | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 | 705 | 100.00 |
| Region |  | Claritas |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate |  |  |  |  |
|  | City of Milwaukee | 11.00 | 81 | 11.49 | 95 | 13.48 |
|  | Rest of Milwaukee DMA | 30.00 | 217 | 30.78 | 207 | 29.36 |
|  | Madison DMA | 17.00 | 126 | 17.87 | 121 | 17.16 |
|  | Green Bay-Appleton DMA | 20.00 | 135 | 19.15 | 132 | 18.72 |
|  | Rest of Wisconsin | 23.00 | 146 | 20.71 | 150 | 21.28 |
|  | Total | 101.00 | 705 | 100 | 705 | 100 |

## Sample Demographics (continued)



Marquette Law School Poll
Sample Disposition Details

| Interview (Category 1) | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Completes (1.100) | 705 |  |
| Partial Completes (1.200) | 0 |  |
| Eligible, Non-Interview (Category 2) |  |  |
| Refusal (2.100) | 1208 |  |
| Never available (2.210) | 2120 |  |
| Physically or mentally unable/incompetent (2.320) | 26 |  |
| Language (2.330) | 49 |  |
| Miscellaneous (2.360) | 28 |  |
| Unknown Eligibility, Non-Interview (Category 3) |  |  |
| Not attempted or worked (3.110) | 11127 |  |
| Always busy (3.120) | 3189 |  |
| No answer (3.130) | 3773 |  |
| Telephone answering device (don't know if housing unit) (3.140) | 344 |  |
| Telecommunication technological barriers, e.g., call blocking (3.150) | 0 |  |
| Not Eligible (Category 4) |  |  |
| Out of sample (4.100) | 169 |  |
| Fax/data line (4.100) | 611 |  |
| Non-working/disconnected number (4.300) | 3809 |  |
| Number changed (4.410) | 1321 |  |
| Pagers(4.440) | 0 |  |
| Business, government office, other organization (4.510) | 513 |  |
| No eligible respondent (4.700) | 96 |  |
| Quota filled (4.800) | 20 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Completes | I (1.0/1.1) | 705 |
| Partial Completes | P (1.2) | 0 |
| Refusals | R (2.1) | 1208 |
| Non-Contact | NC (2.2) | 2120 |
| Other | O (2.3) | 103 |
| Unknown Household | UH (3.1) | 7306 |
| Unknown Other | UO (3.2, 3.9) | 0 |
| Not Eligible | NE (4.0) | 6539 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Formula | Rate |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ Estimated proportion of cases of unknown eligibility that are eligible | $(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{NE})$ | 0.387 |
|  |  |  |
| Response Rate 1 | $\mathrm{I} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.062 |
| Response Rate 2 | $((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.062 |
| Response Rate 3 | $\mathrm{I} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.101 |
| Response Rate 4 | $(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.101 |
|  |  |  |
| Cooperation Rate 1 | $\mathrm{I} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O})$ | 0.350 |
| Cooperation Rate 2 | $(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O})$ | 0.350 |
| Cooperation Rate 3 | $\mathrm{I} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R})$ | 0.369 |
| Cooperation Rate 4 | $(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R})$ | 0.369 |
|  |  |  |
| Refusal Rate 1 | $\mathrm{R} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO})$ | 0.106 |
| Refusal Rate 2 | $\mathrm{R} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.173 |
| Refusal Rate 3 | $\mathrm{R} /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{O}))$ | 0.292 |
|  |  |  |
| Contact Rate 1 | $((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NC}+(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.176 |
| Contact Rate 2 | $((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O}) /((\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{UH}+\mathrm{UO}))$ | 0.416 |

