## **Marquette Law School Poll Methodology Statement**

#### U.S. Supreme Court and National Issues Survey, Feb. 5-15, 2024

The Marquette Law School Poll national survey of public views of the U.S. Supreme Court and national issues was conducted Feb. 5-15, 2024. A total of 1003 adults were interviewed by SSRS of Glen Mills PA, using the SSRS Opinion Panel, a representative probability-based panel of adults ages 18 and over living in the United States, recruited using the SSRS Omnibus poll and through address-based sampling (ABS). The margin of error is +/-4.3 percentage points for the full sample. Table 1 shows the margin of error for subsamples of registered votes, likely voters, registered Republicans (including independents who lean Republican) and registered Democrats (including independents who lean Democratic) along with unweighted and weighted samples sizes. All reported results are based on the weighted sample.

Table 1: Margin of error and sample sizes for subsamples

Sample	Unweighted N	Weighted N	Margin of error
Adults	1003	1003	4.3
Registered voters	882	787	4.5
Likely voters	706	628	5
Republican Registered voters	409	358	6.6
Democratic Registered voters	382	356	6.8

Marquette Law School Poll, national survey, Feb. 5-15, 2024

The sample is weighted by several demographic variables described in the attached statement by SSRS and also by recalled vote, home ownership and number of adults in HH. It is "Weight4" in Table 2 of the attached description.

The survey is a general population sample of U.S. adults ages 18 and over living in the 50 states.

The partisan composition of the weighted sample is 31% Republican, 32% Democrat and 37% independent. When independents who lean to a party are included as partisans the sample is 41% Republican, 42% Democrat and 16% independent.

As described below SSRS Opinion Panel members are recruited randomly based on nationally representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design (including Hawaii and Alaska). ABS respondents are randomly sampled by Marketing Systems Group (MSG) through the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence (CDS), a regularly-updated listing of all known addresses in the U.S. For the SSRS Opinion Panel, known

business addresses are excluded from the sample frame. Additionally, the SSRS Opinion Panel recruit hard-to-reach demographic groups via the SSRS Omnibus survey platform. The SSRS Omnibus survey is a nationally representative (including Hawaii and Alaska) bilingual telephone survey.

#### **AAPOR Transparency Initiative Information**

The Marquette Law School Poll Supreme Court Survey follows the guidelines for disclosure of the American Association for Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative. For more information on the initiative see: https://aapor.org/standards-and-ethics/transparency-initiative/

- The poll is sponsored by Marquette Law School.
- The Marquette Law School Poll, under the direction of Prof. Charles Franklin, designed the survey instrument and performed all statistical analysis. The data collection was administered by SSRS of Glen Mills PA, using the SSRS Opinion Panel, a representative probability-based panel of adults ages 18 and over living in the United States.
- Funding for this study was provided by the Marquette Law School Alumni Annual Fund. Their support is gratefully acknowledged.
- The full survey instrument for this study is available online at https://law.marquette.edu/poll/category/results-and-data/
- The population surveyed consists of the general population of U.S. adults age 18 and over living in the 50 states.
- The sample frame is a nationally representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design (including Hawaii and Alaska). ABS respondents are randomly sampled by MSG through the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence (CDS), a regularly-updated listing of all known addresses in the U.S.. Additionally, the SSRS Opinion Panel recruits hard-to-reach demographic groups via the SSRS Omnibus survey platform. The SSRS Omnibus survey is a nationally representative (including Hawaii and Alaska) bilingual telephone survey.
- The sample uses the SSRS Opinion Panel and is based on address and telephone samples supplied by Marketing Systems Group (MSG). Details of design and response rate are given below.
- The sample was designed to be representative of the adult population of the United States. The sample size is 1003. The margin of error, including design effects due to post-stratification is +/-4.3 percentage points for the full sample. The sample size and margin of error for subsamples are shown in Table 1 above.
- The design effect for this survey is 1.9 which has been incorporated in the calculation of all reported margins of error.

- The survey was administered in English only and was administered on the web. The data were collected Feb. 5-15, 2024.
- Results for all items in the survey, including the full instrument, topline results, crosstabs and this methodological report are be available online at https://law.marquette.edu/poll/category/results-and-data
- For further information contact the survey director, Prof. Charles Franklin at Charles.franklin@marquette.edu.
- Further methodological details, including weighting methodology, is included in the following report from SSRS.



# methods report 2024 February Court Survey

Prepared for Dr. Charles Franklin Marquette University Law School

Prepared by:

**David Rodbart** 

drodbart@ssrs.com

Julia Dalagan

jdalagan@ssrs.com

Jessica Stapleton

jstapleton@ssrs.com

February 16, 2024



## Table of Contents

Table of Contents	5
Overview	6
Sample Design: SSRS Opinion Panel	6
Survey Sampling	6
Questionnaire Design	6
Data Collection	7
Web Contact Procedures	<del>7</del>
Table 1: Study Schedule:	7
Programming, Data Processing, and Integration	7
Programming	<del>7</del>
Quality Control Checks	
Weighting	3
Base Weight (BW)	3
Telephone Omnibus Recruits	3
ABS Recruits	3
Non-Internet Adjustment	<u>C</u>
Raking	
Table 2: Additional variables in each weight	<u> </u>
Table 3: Calibration Variable Sources	10
Table 4: Sample Demographics	11
Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference	13
Table 5: Design effect and margins of sampling error (MOE)	13
Sample Disposition and Response Rate	14
Table 6: Completion Rate/Response Rate:	14
Cumulative Response Rate	14
Deliverables	1/

#### **Overview**

Marquette University Law School (MULaw) engaged SSRS to conduct the February Court Survey via the SSRS Opinion Panel among U.S. adults age 18 and older. Data collection was conducted from February 5 to 15, 2024 among a sample of 1,003 respondents.

The survey was conducted via web in English and data were weighted to represent the target population of U.S. adults ages 18 or older. For this wave, various weighting schemes were investigated, yielding four weights. The weighting section describes these weights in detail, including their margins of error.

This report provides information about the sampling procedures and the methods used to collect, process, and weight data for this study.

#### Sample Design: SSRS Opinion Panel

SSRS Opinion Panel members are recruited randomly based on nationally representative ABS (Address Based Sample) design (including Hawaii and Alaska). ABS respondents are randomly sampled by Marketing Systems Group (MSG) through the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence File (CDS), a regularly-updated listing of all known addresses in the U.S. For the SSRS Opinion Panel, known business addresses are excluded from the sample frame.

The SSRS Opinion Panel is a multi-mode panel. Internet households participate via web while all non-internet households (including those who have internet but are unwilling to take surveys online) participate via phone.

## **Survey Sampling**

All sample drawn for this study were SSRS Opinion Panelists who are U.S. adults ages 18 or older. Sample drawn was stratified by age, gender, race and ethnicity, education, Census region, party identification, and preferred survey language to ensure adequate representation of each demographic group.

## **Questionnaire Design**

The questionnaire was developed by MULaw in consultation with the SSRS project team. SSRS reviewed the questionnaire primarily to identify potential problems in the instrument that might increase respondent burden, cause respondents to refuse or terminate the survey, create problems with respondent comprehension, or pose practical challenges for mode-specific administration such as complex skip patterns.

#### **Data Collection**

#### **Web Contact Procedures**

The administration schedule was as follows:

**Table 1: Study Schedule:** 

Touchpoint	Start	End
Soft launch	2/5/2024	2/6/2024
Full launch	2/6/2024	2/15/2024

A "soft launch" inviting a limited number of panelists to participate was conducted on February 5-6, 2024. After checking soft launch data to ensure that all questionnaire content and skip patterns were correct, additional sample was released to ensure the final sample met the study goals.

An SMS alerting web panelists to keep an eye on their email for the survey was sent the day before launch. At the time of launch, the web panelists were emailed an invitation to complete the survey online. The email for each respondent included a unique password-embedded link. All panelists who did not respond to the email invitation received up to two reminder emails, and panelists who had opted to receive text messages from the SSRS Opinion Panel received one text message reminder.

In appreciation for their participation, panelists received post-paid compensation in the form of an electronic gift card, sent via email immediately after completion of the survey.

Median web survey length was approximately 15 minutes<sup>1</sup>.

## **Programming, Data Processing, and Integration**

#### **Programming**

Prior to the field period, SSRS programmed the study into its Forsta Plus (formerly known as Confirmit) Web/CATI platform for administration in English. Extensive checking of the program was conducted to ensure that skip patterns and sample splits followed the design of the questionnaire.

Additional steps were employed to ensure a quality experience in survey administration regardless of the device utilized by respondents, whether a desktop computer, tablet, or phone. The web program was optimized for administration via smartphone or other mobile handheld devices. The web program was also checked on multiple devices, including

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The median length of the survey would be lowered to 14.5 minutes if outliers were removed, which ranged from 34.8 to 75 minutes.

desktop computers and handheld mobile devices, and different web browsers to ensure consistent and optimized visualization across devices and web browsers. The web survey was accessed directly by respondents, using their unique survey links with embedded passwords. This also gave them the ability to return to their survey later if they chose to suspend their survey.

#### **Quality Control Checks**

For web surveys, quality checks were incorporated into the survey. For the 2024 February Court Survey, Respondents who finished the survey in less than 4 minutes (30% of survey length) were not included in the final data set.

In addition, the SSRS Opinion Probability Panel's new quality control was implemented to monitor response quality over time at the panelist level. These included a sincerity check, a trap question, and a panelist satisfaction rate question which were randomly placed throughout the survey.

A total of n=11 completed surveys were removed after applying these cleaning standards (1%).

## Weighting

Data were weighted to represent the residential adult population of the United States. The data were weighted by applying a base weight and balancing the demographic profile of the sample to target population parameters.

#### Base Weight (BW)

The base weight for the SSRS Prob Panel was computed differently depending on whether the panelist was recruited from the SSRS RDD telephone Omnibus or from address-based sample (ABS).

## **Telephone Omnibus Recruits**

The base weight for the telephone omnibus recruits is their original base weight computed at the time of the original omnibus interview. This base weight accounts for selection probabilities associated with the overlapping dual-frame Omnibus sample design. This base weight is a function of the landline and cell frame and sample sizes as well as each respondent's telephone usage and number of adults in the household.

#### **ABS Recruits**

The base weight for ABS recruits is the product of a sampling weight and a household size adjustment. The sampling weight corrects for the disproportionate ABS design by adjusting the distribution of sample across the ABS strata to match the distribution of the ABS frame across strata.

ABS recruits come from a variety of sample sources, some of which employ different stratification schemes. ABS base weights are computed based on one of two stratifications. One stratification is geo-demographic. The unit of the stratification is Census Block Group and the strata are based on region, incidence of African American residents and incidence

of Hispanic residents. The second stratification includes substrata based on modeled party identification.

After applying the base weight for the ABS recruits, a household size adjustment corrects for the sampling of one adult in each sampled household.

#### **Non-Internet Adjustment**

For projects that collect data entirely online, people who do not use the Internet are necessarily not included in the sample. To account for this non-coverage and make the results more representative of the entire target population, we make a non-internet adjustment to the base weight.

This is a propensity score adjustment that models adults with internet access to be representative of all adults (regardless of whether or not they have internet access). Propensity scores are estimated by modeling panel response mode on a range of demographic, attitudinal and behavioral covariates. The model is a CART (Classification and Regression Trees) decision tree built in SPSS by using its scoring wizard available with the decision tree license.

#### Raking

With the base weight applied, the data were weighted to balance the demographic profile of the sample to the target population parameters.

Missing data in the raking variables were imputed using hot decking. Hot deck imputation replaces the missing values of a respondent randomly with another similar respondent without missing data. Hot decking was done using an SPSS macro detailed in 'Goodbye, Listwise Deletion: Presenting Hot Deck Imputation as an Easy and Effective Tool for Handing Missing Data' (Myers, 2011).

Weighting was accomplished using SPSSINC RAKE, an SPSS extension module that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using the GENLOG procedure.

Data were weighted to distributions of: sex by age, sex by education, age by education, detailed education, race/ethnicity, census region, civic engagement, population density, party ID, voter registration, religious affiliation, and internet use frequency. These variables were used in the calibration for each of the four weights. Home ownership, number of adults in household and recalled vote are three additional variables that were added as calibration variables to some of the weights. The following table shows the additional variables included in each weight.

Table 2: Additional variables in each weight

Weight	Additional Variables Included
WEIGHT1	None
WEIGHT2	Home ownership and number of adults in HH

WEIGHT3	Recalled vote
WEIGHT4	Recalled vote Recalled vote, home ownership and number of adults in HH
	in HH

The following table shows the data sources used for calibration totals.

**Table 3: Calibration Variable Sources** 

Dimensions	Source
Sex	2023 Current Population Survey <sup>2</sup>
Age	
Education	
Race	
Hispanic nativity	
Census region	
Number of Adults	
Home Ownership	
Population density	Claritas Pop-Facts Premier 2023 <sup>3</sup>
Religion Affiliation	Pew Research Center's National Public Opinion
Internet frequency	Reference Survey (NPORS) <sup>4</sup>
Party ID	
Civic Engagement <sup>5</sup>	September 2021 CPS Volunteering and Civic Life
	Supplement <sup>6</sup>
Voter Registration	Aristotle Voter Data 2023 and Annual Estimates of
	the Resident Population by Single Year of Age and
	Sex for the United States: April 1, 2020 to July 1,
	2022 from the U.S. Census Bureau <sup>7</sup>
2020 Presidential	National Election Pool
Recalled Vote	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sarah Flood, Miriam King, Renae Rodgers, Steven Ruggles, J. Robert Warren, Daniel Backman, Annie Chen, Grace Cooper, Stephanie Richards, Megan Schouweiler and Michael Westberry. IPUMS CPS: Version 11.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2023. https://doi.org/10.18128/D030.V11.0

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  https://environicsanalytics.com/data/demographic/pop-facts-premier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/fact-sheet/national-public-opinion-reference-survey-npors/ - May 19 to Sept 5, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Civically engaged respondents are defined as those who have volunteered in the past 12 months or who talk to their neighbors daily.

 $<sup>^{6}\ \</sup>mathsf{https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/about/supplemental-surveys.\mathsf{html}}$ 

 $<sup>^{7}\</sup> https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2020s-national-detail.html$ 

Weights were trimmed at the 2nd and 98th percentiles to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on survey-derived estimates. The table below compares unweighted and weighted sample distributions to target population benchmarks.

**Table 4: Sample Demographics** 

Category	Values	Parameter	Unweighted	WEIGHT1	WEIGHT2	WEIGHT3	WEIGHT4
	Male 18-24	6.0%	1.9%	5.2%	5.3%	5.1%	5.1%
	Male 25-34	8.8%	7.0%	8.8%	8.9%	8.7%	8.9%
	Male 35-44	8.5%	9.4%	8.8%	8.7%	8.8%	8.7%
	Male 45-54	7.7%	6.5%	7.7%	7.5%	7.8%	7.6%
	Male 55-64	7.8%	8.5%	8.0%	7.9%	8.0%	7.9%
Cov. by ago	Male 65+	10.2%	14.2%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%
Sex by age	Female 18-24	5.8%	3.1%	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%
	Female 25-34	8.6%	11.5%	8.7%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%
	Female 35-44	8.4%	9.4%	8.6%	8.6%	8.6%	8.6%
	Female 45-54	7.8%	8.4%	7.8%	7.9%	7.7%	7.9%
	Female 55-64	8.2%	8.7%	8.1%	8.0%	8.1%	8.1%
	Female 65+	12.2%	11.7%	12.1%	12.3%	12.2%	12.4%
	LT HS	9.4%	8.5%	9.0%	9.1%	9.0%	9.1%
	HS grad	28.8%	29.1%	28.6%	28.6%	28.7%	28.6%
Education	Some Coll/Assoc Degree	26.4%	25.2%	26.0%	26.2%	25.9%	26.0%
	College grad+	35.4%	37.2%	36.4%	36.2%	36.4%	36.2%
	Male HS grad or less	20.0%	14.3%	19.7%	19.6%	19.7%	19.6%
	Male Some college	12.4%	11.9%	12.3%	12.3%	12.1%	12.2%
Sex by	Male College grad +	16.5%	21.2%	17.0%	16.9%	17.0%	17.0%
education	Female HS grad or less	18.2%	23.3%	17.9%	18.1%	18.0%	18.1%
	Female Some college	14.0%	13.4%	13.8%	13.9%	13.7%	13.9%
	Female College grad +	18.9%	16.0%	19.3%	19.2%	19.4%	19.3%
	18-34 HS grad or less	11.5%	11.0%	10.9%	11.1%	10.8%	11.0%
Age by education	18-34 Some college	8.8%	5.8%	8.4%	8.5%	8.2%	8.3%
	18-34 College grad +	8.9%	6.7%	9.1%	9.1%	9.2%	9.1%
	35-54 HS grad or less 35-54 Some	10.9%	12.7%	11.0%	10.8%	11.1%	10.9%
	college	7.8%	7.4%	7.8%	7.9%	7.7%	7.9%
	35-54 College	13.7%	13.6%	14.1%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%

	grad +						
	55+ HS grad or less	15.7%	14.0%	15.7%	15.8%	15.8%	15.8%
	55+ Some college	9.9%	12.1%	9.9%	9.8%	9.9%	9.8%
	55+ College grad +	12.8%	16.9%	13.2%	13.1%	13.2%	13.1%
	White non- Hisp	61.3%	62.2%	61.4%	61.3%	61.5%	61.5%
	Black non-Hisp	12.1%	12.7%	12.4%	12.4%	12.5%	12.4%
Race/ethnicity	Hispanic	17.5%	18.6%	17.0%	17.0%	16.8%	16.8%
	Asian, non- Hisp	6.5%	5.5%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%
	Other non- Hisp	2.6%	1.0%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%
	Northeast	17.3%	18.2%	17.2%	17.4%	17.3%	17.5%
Conque recier	Midwest	20.5%	21.5%	20.1%	20.1%	20.2%	20.1%
Census region	South	38.6%	38.5%	38.6%	38.7%	38.7%	38.8%
	West	23.6%	21.7%	24.0%	23.9%	23.8%	23.7%
Civic	Not engaged	73.0%	57.8%	72.2%	72.3%	72.2%	72.3%
engagement	Civically engaged	27.0%	42.2%	27.8%	27.7%	27.8%	27.7%
	1 Lowest 20%	20.0%	17.5%	19.2%	19.3%	19.3%	19.3%
	2	20.0%	19.3%	20.5%	20.4%	20.5%	20.4%
Population density	3	20.0%	21.5%	20.1%	20.2%	20.3%	20.3%
uchisity	4	20.0%	19.8%	20.6%	20.5%	20.6%	20.5%
	5 Highest 20%	20.0%	21.7%	19.6%	19.7%	19.4%	19.6%
	Rep	29.0%	32.5%	29.3%	29.3%	29.1%	29.1%
Party ID (panel)	Dem	30.4%	30.3%	30.9%	30.6%	31.0%	30.7%
(paner)	Ind/Other	40.7%	37.2%	39.8%	40.1%	39.8%	40.2%
Voter	Registered to vote	76.6%	87.9%	78.6%	78.3%	78.9%	78.5%
Registration	Not registered	23.4%	12.1%	21.4%	21.7%	21.1%	21.5%
n li i	Affiliated	71.0%	69.3%	71.2%	71.5%	71.1%	71.3%
Religion	Not Affiliated	29.0%	30.7%	28.8%	28.5%	28.9%	28.7%
Internet	Almost constantly	41.9%	51.7%	42.4%	42.1%	42.4%	42.1%
Frequency	Several times a day or less	58.1%	48.3%	57.6%	57.9%	57.6%	57.9%
N 1 C	1	16.9%	23.9%	18.3%	17.1%	18.6%	17.1%
Number of Adults	2	52.6%	52.1%	51.3%	53.0%	51.3%	53.0%
naaito	3+	30.5%	23.9%	30.4%	30.0%	30.1%	29.8%
Home	Own	69.8%	64.1%	63.3%	70.2%	63.5%	70.4%
Ownership	Rent	30.2%	35.9%	36.7%	29.8%	36.5%	29.6%
Docallad Vata	Trump	36.5%	40.3%	36.9%	37.1%	37.1%	37.2%
Recalled Vote (for WEIGHT3)	Biden	40.0%	41.0%	37.6%	37.3%	40.7%	40.4%
	Other	1.4%	3.8%	3.4%	3.3%	1.5%	1.4%

	Did not vote in 2020	22.1%	15.0%	22.1%	22.3%	20.8%	21.0%
	Trump	36.4%	40.3%	36.9%	37.1%	37.1%	37.2%
Recalled Vote (for WEIGHT4)	Biden	39.9%	41.0%	37.6%	37.3%	40.7%	40.4%
	Other	1.4%	3.8%	3.4%	3.3%	1.5%	1.4%
	Did not vote in 2020	22.3%	15.0%	22.1%	22.3%	20.8%	21.0%

## **Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference**

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. SSRS calculates the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic non-response. For example, the total sample design effect for this survey using weight1 is 1.79.

SSRS calculates the composite design effect for a sample of size n, with each case having a weight, w, as:8

$$deff = \frac{n\sum w^2}{(\sum w)^2}$$

The survey's margin of error is the largest 95% confidence interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample — the one around 50%. For example, the margin of error for the entire sample using weight1 is  $\pm$  4.1 percentage points. This means that in 95 out of every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the entire sample will be no more than 4.1 percentage points away from their true values in the population. Margins of error for subgroups will be larger. It is important to remember that sampling fluctuations are only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Other sources, such as respondent selection bias, questionnaire wording, and reporting inaccuracy, may contribute additional error of greater or lesser magnitude.

The following table shows the survey's design effect and margins of error corresponding to the four weights in the dataset.

Table 5: Design effect and margins of sampling error (MOE)

Weight	DEFF	MOE
WEIGHT1	1.79	+/- 4.1 percentage
WEIGHTI		points
WEIGHT2	1.88	+/- 4.2 percentage

<sup>8</sup> Kish, L. (1992). Weighting for Unequal Pi. Journal of Official Statistics, Vol. 8, No.2, 1992, pp. 183-200.

		points
WEIGHT3	1.81	+/- 4.2 percentage
		points
WEIGHT4	1.90	+/- 4.3 percentage
WEIGHIA		points

## **Sample Disposition and Response Rate**

Table 6 details the completion and response rates for this study.

**Table 6: Completion Rate/Response Rate:** 

Completion Rates/Composite Response Rates	Total
Total Sample (Invited to participate)	2,374
Screen-outs	4
Total Eligible	2,370
Quality control removals	11
Incompletes	64
Quota full	10
Completions*	1,003
Incidence/Eligibility rate	99.60%
Survey Completion rate (Completions/Total invited to participate)	42.25%
Survey RR3	42.41%

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes screen-outs or data quality removals that completed the survey.

#### **Cumulative Response Rate**

Cumulative response rate that takes into consideration the response rate for the panel recruitment survey, percent of recruitment survey respondents that agree to join the panel and the panel survey specific RR3 reported above comes to 2.68%.

#### **Deliverables**

Final deliverables for this study were as follows:

- Weighted SPSS dataset
- Methodology report